

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,334	09/01/2005	Katsumi Hirose	OGW-0389	3127
	7590 08/17/2009	EXAMINER		
Suite 2500	s - Greer, Burns & Crain	MULCAHY, PETER D		
300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
omeuge, 12 00			1796	
•				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/547,334	HIROSE, KATSUMI
Review		Art Unit
	BENJAMIN UTECH	1796

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal E	rief Request for Review filed 30 July 2009.
 Improper Request – The Re reason(s): 	quest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inclu	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. de reasons why a review is appropriate. included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	e continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from munication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains unde is required to submit an appeal brie brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the notice	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant of in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period one of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date decision.
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cons	the status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	nference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office ction is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>BENJAMIN UTECH</u> .	(3) <u>Peter Mulcahy</u> .
(2) David Wu. Du	(4)
	/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796